UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/533,754	05/04/2005	Nathalie Dorothee Pieternel Leurs	NL 021106	6702
	7590 10/06/201 LLECTUAL PROPER	EXAMINER		
P.O. BOX 3001		ARAQUE JR, GERARDO		
BRIARCLIFF MANOR, NY 10510		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
		3689		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			10/06/2010	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/533,754	LEURS ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	

	GERARDO ARAQUE JR	3689	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED <u>24 September 2010</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS	S APPLICATION IN CONDITION F	OR ALLOWANCE.	
1.  The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appe for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C periods:	replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit eal (with appeal fee) in compliance	, or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or	hich places the (3) a Request
<ul> <li>a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing</li> <li>b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Ar</li> </ul>		n the final rejection, whi	phover is leter. In
no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire la Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (l	ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing	date of the final rejection	n.
MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date of the control of the con	r).		
have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of ext under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the s set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ension and the corresponding amount of hortened statutory period for reply origin	of the fee. The appropria nally set in the final Offic	ate extension fee e action; or (2) as
NOTICE OF APPEAL	liance with 27 CED 44 27 must be f	ilad within two manth.	a af tha data af
<ol> <li>The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in complifiling the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed with the complex of Appeal has been filed.</li> </ol>	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the	
AMENDMENTS			
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, be  (a) They raise new issues that would require further core	nsideration and/or search (see NOT		cause
<ul> <li>(b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below</li> <li>(c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in better</li> </ul>	•	lucina or simplifyina tl	ne issues for
appeal; and/or			10 100000 101
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a c	corresponding number of finally reje	cted claims.	
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).  4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12	21 See attached Nation of Non Cor	maliant Amandment (	DTOL 224)
<ul><li>5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):</li></ul>		npliant Amendment (	PTOL-324).
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s).		imely filed amendmer	nt canceling the
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is proved the status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:  Claim(s) allowed:  Claim(s) objected to:  Claim(s) rejected: 1-21.  Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:		be entered and an e	xplanation of
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).</li> </ol>			
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to or showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea	l and/or appellant fail:	s to provide a
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	n of the status of the claims after er	ntry is below or attach	ed.
<ol> <li>The request for reconsideration has been considered but <u>See Continuation Sheet.</u></li> </ol>	does NOT place the application in	condition for allowan	ce because:
12. Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i> (s). (13. Other:	PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)		
/Janice A. Mooneyham/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 3689			

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: The Examiner asserts that the newly provided amendments will require further search and consideration. Specifically, the claims now calls, "...recommending the content item HAVING at least one first characteristic WITH an associative correspondence to at least one second characteristic...", wherein before the claims called for, "...recommending the content item IF IT COMPRISES at least one first characteristic HAVING an associative correspondence to at least one second characteristic...". In regards to the applicant's argument of the Examiner not providing specific citations and arguing that the Examiner provided too many paragraphs, the Examiner has already commented on this specific argument in the Final Office Action mailed on July 27, 2010 and has specifically provided a break down of all 39 paragraphs with an explanation of each paragraph(s) and why they read over the claimed invention. Therefore, the Examiner, once again, asserts that the burden has been met to identify the location of the teachings in the prior art that teach each and every element of the claim. The Examiner went into great detail of why the 39 paragraphs, which the Examiner asserts are rather short and are not as overwhelming as the applicant is attempting to make it, are pertinent.